

School: _____

Name of Student Leader: _____

Project Description: _____


West Virginia Ag Student Technology and Innovation Challenge
Official Rating Form

Before judging the entry, ensure that the items below are present. Indicate presence with a check mark in the box. If an item is missing, leave the box blank and place a check mark in the box "Incomplete Application."

Display and/or prototype is present.

Display and Semifinalist Pitch Presentation (100 points)

Evaluators: Using minimal (1-4 points), adequate (5-8 points) or exemplary (9-10 points) performance levels as a guideline, record the scores earned for the event criteria in the column spaces to the right. The X4 notation in the criteria column is a multiplier factor for determining the points earned.



Innovation (X3)	The work lacks innovation, with little or no integration of design principles.	Some innovative elements are included, and essential design principles and elements are used somewhat effectively.	The work exudes innovation and essential design principles and elements are integrated. Max 30	
Organization	Participant(s) seem unorganized and unprepared for the presentation/interview, with an illogical explanation of the problem and solution.	Participant(s) are generally prepared for the presentation/interview; explanation of problem and solution are communicated and generally organized	The presentation is logical, well organized and easy to follow; the problem and solution are communicated in an organized and concise manner.	
Articulation	The presentation/interview is full of illogical thoughts that lack clarity, and/or there is insufficient information provided.	The presentation/interview is somewhat logical, generally easy to follow and/or there is sufficient information describing the project.	The presentation/interview is clear, concise, and there is ample information provided describing the project.	
Delivery	The participant(s) is verbose and/or uncertain in its presentation/interview: participants posture, gestures and lack of eye contact diminish the delivery.	The participant(s) is somewhat well-spoken and clear in its presentation/interview; participants' posture, gestures, and eye contact result in an acceptable delivery.	The participant(s) is well spoken and distinct in its presentation/interview; participant's posture, gestures, and eye contact result in a polished, natural and effective delivery.	
Knowledge (X2)	Participants seem to have little understanding of the concepts in their project; answers to questions may be vague.	Participants exhibit an understanding of the concepts in their project.	Participants show clear evidence of a thorough understanding of the project. Max 20	
		Subtotal	100 Points	

Total Points (100 possible – add subtotals)	100 Points	
Comments:		
I certify these results to be true and accurate to the best of my knowledge.		
Evaluator (Printed Name): _____		
Signature:		